

On the Persistent and Extinction Property of a Discrete Mutualism Model with Time Delays

Runxin Wu

Abstract—Sufficient conditions are obtained for the permanence and extinction of the following discrete model of mutualism

$$\begin{aligned}
 x_1(k+1) &= x_1(k) \exp \left\{ r_1(k) \left[\frac{K_1(k) + \alpha_1(k)x_2^{\beta_1}(k - \tau_2(k))}{1 + x_2^{\beta_1}(k - \tau_2(k))} \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. - x_1^{\delta_1}(k - \sigma_1(k)) \right] \right\}, \\
 x_2(k+1) &= x_2(k) \exp \left\{ r_2(k) \left[\frac{K_2(k) + \alpha_2(k)x_1^{\beta_2}(k - \tau_1(k))}{1 + x_1^{\beta_2}(k - \tau_1(k))} \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. - x_2^{\delta_2}(k - \sigma_2(k)) \right] \right\},
 \end{aligned}$$

where we assume that one of the following conditions holds.

- (A) $r_i, K_i, \alpha_i, \tau_i$ and $\sigma_i, i = 1, 2$ are nonnegative sequences bounded above and below by positive constants, and $\alpha_i > K_i, i = 1, 2. \beta_i, \delta_i, i = 1, 2$ are all positive constants;
 (B) $r_i, \alpha_i, \tau_i, \sigma_i, i = 1, 2$ and K_1 are nonnegative sequences bounded above and below by positive constants, K_2 is a negative sequences bounded above and below by negative constants, and $\alpha_1 > K_1, \beta_i, \delta_i, i = 1, 2$ are all positive constants.

The results obtained here generalize the main result of Fengde Chen.

Index Terms—Nonautonomous; Mutualism model; Discrete model; Delays; Permanence.

I. INTRODUCTION

THROUGHOUT this paper, for any bounded sequence $\{h(n)\}$, set $h^u = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{h(n)\}$ and $h^l = \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{h(n)\}$.

The aim of this paper is to investigate the persistent property of the following discrete model of mutualism

$$\begin{aligned}
 x_1(k+1) &= x_1(k) \exp \left\{ r_1(k) \left[f_1(x_2(k - \tau_2(k))) \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. - x_1^{\delta_1}(k - \sigma_1(k)) \right] \right\}, \\
 x_2(k+1) &= x_2(k) \exp \left\{ r_2(k) \left[f_2(x_1(k - \tau_1(k))) \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. - x_2^{\delta_2}(k - \sigma_2(k)) \right] \right\},
 \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_1(x_2(k - \tau_2(k))) &= \frac{K_1(k) + \alpha_1(k)x_2^{\beta_1}(k - \tau_2(k))}{1 + x_2^{\beta_1}(k - \tau_2(k))} \\
 f_2(x_1(k - \tau_1(k))) &= \frac{K_2(k) + \alpha_2(k)x_1^{\beta_2}(k - \tau_1(k))}{1 + x_1^{\beta_2}(k - \tau_1(k))}.
 \end{aligned}$$

We assume that the coefficients of system (1.1) satisfies:

- (A) $r_i, K_i, \alpha_i, \tau_i$ and $\sigma_i, i = 1, 2$ are nonnegative sequences bounded above and below by positive constants, and $\alpha_i > K_i, i = 1, 2. \beta_i, \delta_i, i = 1, 2$ are all positive constants.

- (B) $r_i, \alpha_i, \tau_i, \sigma_i, i = 1, 2$ and K_1 are nonnegative sequences bounded above and below by positive constants, K_2 is a negative sequences bounded above and below by negative constants, and $\alpha_1 > K_1, \beta_i, \delta_i, i = 1, 2$ are all positive constants.

Let $\tau = \sup_k \{\tau_i(k), \sigma_i(k), i = 1, 2\}$, we consider (1.1) together with the following initial conditions

$$\begin{aligned}
 x_i(\theta) &= \varphi_i(\theta) \geq 0, \theta \in N[-\tau, 0] = \{-\tau, -\tau + 1, \dots, 0\}, \\
 \varphi_i(0) &> 0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

It is not difficult to see that solutions of (1.1)-(1.2) are well defined for all $k \geq 0$ and satisfy

$$x_i(k) > 0, \text{ for } k \in Z, i = 1, 2.$$

During the last decade, many scholars investigated the dynamic behaviors of the mutualism model, see [1]-[26] and the references cited therein. Some excellent results about the existence of positive periodic solution (almost periodic solution), the persistent property of the system etc are obtained.

Li[1] proposed the following two species discrete model of mutualism

$$\begin{aligned}
 x_1(k+1) &= x_1(k) \exp \left\{ r_1(k) \left[g_1(x_2(k - \tau_2(k))) \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. - x_1(k - \sigma_1(k)) \right] \right\}, \\
 x_2(k+1) &= x_2(k) \exp \left\{ r_2(k) \left[g_2(x_1(k - \tau_1(k))) \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. - x_2(k - \sigma_2(k)) \right] \right\},
 \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 g_1(x_2(k - \tau_2(k))) &= \frac{K_1(k) + \alpha_1(k)x_2(k - \tau_2(k))}{1 + x_2(k - \tau_2(k))}, \\
 g_2(x_1(k - \tau_1(k))) &= \frac{K_2(k) + \alpha_2(k)x_1(k - \tau_1(k))}{1 + x_1(k - \tau_1(k))}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Runxin Wu is with the Mathematics and Physics Institute, Fujian University of Technology, Fuzhou, Fujian, 350014, P. R. China, E-mails:runxinwu@163.com.

Under the assumption $r_i, K_i, \alpha_i, \tau_i$ and $\sigma_i, i = 1, 2$ are periodic positive sequences with common period ω , and $\alpha_i > K_i$. By applying coincidence degree theory, he showed that system (1.1) admits at least one positive ω -periodic solution. Chen[2] argued that a general nonautonomous nonperiodic system is more appropriate, and thus, he assume that $r_i, K_i, \alpha_i, \tau_i$ and $\sigma_i, i = 1, 2$ are nonnegative sequences bounded above and below by positive constants, and $\alpha_i > K_i, i = 1, 2$. Under those assumption, he showed that system (1.3) is permanent.

It bring to our attention that the model (1.3) is based on the following single species discrete model:

$$x(k+1) = x(k) \exp \left\{ r_1(k) [K_1(k) - x_1(k)] \right\}. \quad (1.4)$$

Already, during the past decades, in his series works, based on the traditional single species Ayala model, Fengde Chen and his coauthors ([16]-[20]) proposed several kind of nonlinear population models, and investigated the extinction, persistent, and stability property of the system. Specially, in [19], they proposed the following discrete n -species Gilpin-Ayala competition model

$$x_i(k+1) = x_i(k) \exp \left[b_i(k) - \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}(k) (x_j(k))^{\theta_{ij}} \right], \quad (1.5)$$

where $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$; $x_i(k)$ is the density of competition species i at k -th generation. They investigated the permanence and stability of the system (1.5). Their success motivated us to propose the nonlinear mutualism model (1.1).

The aim of this paper is, by further developing the analysis technique of [2], [19], [20], to obtain a set of sufficient conditions to ensure the permanence of the system (1.1). More precisely, we will prove the following result.

Theorem 1.1. *Under the assumption (A), system (1.1) is permanent, that is, there exist positive constants $m_i, M_i, i = 1, 2$ which are independent of the solutions of system (1.1), such that for any positive solution $(x_1(k), x_2(k))^T$ of system (1.1) with initial condition (1.2), one has:*

$$m_i \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} x_i(k) \leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} x_i(k) \leq M_i, i = 1, 2.$$

Remark 1.1. When $\beta_i = \delta_i = 1$, Theorem 1.1 degenerate to Theorem 1.1 in Chen[2], thus, we generalize the main result of Chen[2] to the nonlinear case.

On the other hand, by considering the relationship of customer fish and cleaner fish, Jiang, Xie and Ye[27] established the following two species obligate mutualism model:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_1 &= r_1 x_1 \left(1 - \frac{x_1}{N_1} + \sigma_1 \frac{x_2}{N_2} \right), \\ \dot{x}_2 &= r_2 x_2 \left(-1 + \sigma_2 \frac{x_1}{N_1} - \frac{x_2}{N_2} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

where x_1 and x_2 are the densities of first and second species at time t , respectively. r_1 is the intrinsic growth rate of the first species, r_2 is the death rate of the second species, $N_i, i = 1, 2$ are the carrying capacity of the i -th species, respectively. $\sigma_i, i = 1, 2$ reflects the efficiency of cooperation. Here, obligate means that the first species benefiting from the presence of each other, however it may also survive in the absence of each other, while the second species may

survive only by association. The authors investigated the local stability property of the equilibria of system (1.6). By constructing a suitable Lyapunov function, Chen, Yang, Han et al[28] obtained sufficient conditions which ensure the global asymptotical stability of the positive equilibrium and boundary equilibrium of above system. They showed that the conditions which ensure the local stability of the nonnegative equilibria is enough to ensure their global asymptotical stability. Chen[29] and Wu et al[30] also proposed the commensalism model with one party could not survival independently. All of their study shows that with the help of other species, the system may become permanent. However, to this day, still no scholars investigated the discrete type cooperation system with one species could not survival independently. This leads us to study the dynamic behaviors of system (1.1) under the assumption (B).

In system (1.1), without the help of the first species, then the second species satisfies the equation

$$x_2(k+1) = x_2(k) \exp \left\{ r_2(k) [K_2(k) - x_2^{\delta_2}(k - \sigma_2(k))] \right\},$$

Since $K_2(k)$ is negative sequence, one could easily see that $x_2(k) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$. Now, for system (1.1), under the assumption (B), is it possible for us to investigate the persistent or extinct property of the system? We will give the affirm answer to this problem, indeed, we have the following results:

Theorem 1.2. *Assume that*

$$\frac{r_2^l K_2^l}{1 + M_1^{\beta_2}} + r_2^u \alpha_2^u < 0 \quad (H)$$

and (B) hold, then the species x_2 will be driven to extinction, and the species x_1 is permanent, that for any positive solution $(x_1(k), x_2(k))^T$ of system (1.1) with initial condition (1.2), one has:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} x_2(k) &= 0, \\ m_1 &\leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} x_1(k) \leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} x_1(k) \leq M_1 \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1.3. *Assume that*

$$\frac{K_2^l + \alpha_2^l m_1^{\beta_2}}{1 + m_1^{\beta_2}} > 0 \quad (F)$$

and (B) hold, then system (1.1) is permanent.

We will prove Theorem 1.1 - 1.3 in the next section, and end the paper by a briefly discussion.

II. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULTS

Now we state several lemmas which will be useful in proving of our main results.

Lemma 2.1.[2] *Assume that $\{x(k)\}$ satisfies $x(k) > 0$ and*

$$x(k+1) \leq x(k) \exp \left\{ a(k) - b(k)x(k) \right\}$$

for $k \in N$, where $a(k)$ and $b(k)$ are nonnegative sequences bounded above and below by positive constants. Then

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} x(k) \leq \frac{1}{b^l} \exp(a^u - 1).$$

Lemma 2.2.[2] Assume that $\{x(k)\}$ satisfies

$$x(k+1) \geq x(k) \exp \left\{ a(k) - b(k)x(k) \right\}, \quad k \geq N_0,$$

$\limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} x(k) \leq x^*$ and $x(N_0) > 0$, where $a(k)$ and $b(k)$ are nonnegative sequences bounded above and below by positive constants and $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} x(k) \geq \min \left\{ \frac{a^l}{b^u} \exp \{ a^l - b^u x^* \}, \frac{a^l}{b^u} \right\}.$$

Now we are in the position to prove the main results of this paper.

Proof of the Theorem 1.1. Let $(x_1(k), x_2(k))$ be any positive solution of system (1.1) with initial condition (1.2). From the first equation of system (1.1) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & x_1(k+1) \\ & \leq x_1(k) \exp \left\{ r_1(k) \left[\frac{K_1(k) + \alpha_1(k)x_2^{\beta_1}(k - \tau_2(k))}{1 + x_2^{\beta_1}(k - \tau_2(k))} \right] \right\} \\ & \leq x_1(k) \exp \left\{ r_1(k) \left[\frac{\alpha_1(k) + \alpha_1(k)x_2^{\beta_1}(k - \tau_2(k))}{1 + x_2^{\beta_1}(k - \tau_2(k))} \right] \right\} \\ & = x_1(k) \exp \left\{ r_1(k)\alpha_1(k) \right\} \\ & \leq x_1(k) \exp \left\{ r_1^u \alpha_1^u \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

By using (2.1), one could easily obtain that

$$x_1(k - \sigma_1(k)) \geq x_1(k) \exp \{ -r_1^u \alpha_1^u \tau \}. \tag{2.2}$$

Substituting (2.2) into the first equation of system (1.1), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} x_1(k+1) & \leq x_1(k) \exp \left\{ r_1^u \alpha_1^u \right. \\ & \quad \left. - r_1^l \exp \{ -\delta_1 r_1^u \alpha_1^u \tau \} x_1^{\delta_1}(k) \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

That is

$$\begin{aligned} x_1^{\delta_1}(k+1) & \leq x_1^{\delta_1}(k) \exp \left\{ \delta_1 r_1^u \alpha_1^u \right. \\ & \quad \left. - r_1^l \delta_1 \exp \{ -\delta_1 r_1^u \alpha_1^u \tau \} x_1^{\delta_1}(k) \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Set

$$u_1(k) = x_1^{\delta_1}(k), \tag{2.5}$$

then,

$$\begin{aligned} u_1(k+1) & \leq u_1(k) \exp \left\{ \delta_1 r_1^u \alpha_1^u \right. \\ & \quad \left. - r_1^l \delta_1 \exp \{ -\delta_1 r_1^u \alpha_1^u \tau \} u_1(k) \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

As a direct corollary of Lemma 2.1, according to (2.6), one has

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} u_1(k) \leq \frac{1}{r_1^l \delta_1} \exp \left\{ \delta_1 r_1^u \alpha_1^u (\tau + 1) - 1 \right\} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} u_1^*. \tag{2.7}$$

Consequently,

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} x_1(k) \leq \left(\frac{1}{r_1^l \delta_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta_1}} \exp \left\{ r_1^u \alpha_1^u (\tau + 1) - \frac{1}{\delta_1} \right\} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} M_1. \tag{2.8}$$

By using the second equation of system (1.1), similar to the analysis of (2.1)-(2.8), we can obtain

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} x_2(k) \leq \left(\frac{1}{r_2^l \delta_2} \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta_2}} \exp \left\{ r_2^u \alpha_2^u (\tau + 1) - \frac{1}{\delta_2} \right\} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} M_2. \tag{2.9}$$

For any small positive constant $\varepsilon > 0$, from (2.8)-(2.9) it follows that there exists a $N_1 > 0$ such that for all $k > N_1$ and $i = 1, 2$,

$$x_i(k) < M_i + \varepsilon. \tag{2.10}$$

For $k \geq N_1 + \tau$, from (2.10) and the first equation of system (1.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & x_1(k+1) \\ & = x_1(k) \exp \left\{ r_1(k) \left[\frac{K_1(k) + \alpha_1(k)x_2^{\beta_1}(k - \tau_2(k))}{1 + x_2^{\beta_1}(k - \tau_2(k))} \right] \right. \\ & \quad \left. - x_1^{\delta_1}(k - \sigma_1(k)) \right\} \\ & \geq x_1(k) \exp \left\{ r_1(k) \left[\frac{K_1(k) + K_1(k)x_2^{\beta_1}(k - \tau_2(k))}{1 + x_2^{\beta_1}(k - \tau_2(k))} \right] \right. \\ & \quad \left. - x_1^{\delta_1}(k - \sigma_1(k)) \right\} \\ & \geq x_1(k) \exp \left\{ r_1^l K_1^l - r_1^u (M_1 + \varepsilon)^{\delta_1} \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

Thus, by using (2.11) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} x_1(k - \sigma_1(k)) & \leq x_1(k) \exp \left\{ - \left[r_1^l K_1^l \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. - r_1^u (M_1 + \varepsilon)^{\delta_1} \right] \tau \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

Substituting (2.12) into the first equation of system (1.1), for $t \geq N_1 + \tau$, it follows that

$$x_1(k+1) \geq x_1(k) \exp \left[r_1^l K_1^l - H_1 x_1^{\delta_1}(k) \right], \tag{2.13}$$

where

$$H_1 = r_1^u \exp \left\{ - \delta_1 \left[r_1^l K_1^l - r_1^u (M_1 + \varepsilon)^{\delta_1} \right] \tau \right\},$$

and so

$$x_1^{\delta_1}(k+1) \geq x_1^{\delta_1}(k) \exp \left[\delta_1 r_1^l K_1^l - \delta_1 H_1 x_1^{\delta_1}(k) \right]. \tag{2.14}$$

Set

$$u_1(k) = x_1^{\delta_1}(k), \tag{2.15}$$

then,

$$u_1(k+1) \geq u_1(k) \exp \left[\delta_1 r_1^l K_1^l - \delta_1 H_1 u_1(k) \right], \tag{2.16}$$

Thus, as a direct corollary of Lemma 2.2, according to (2.7) and (2.16), one has

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} u_1(k) \geq \min \{A_{1\varepsilon}, A_{2\varepsilon}\}, \quad (2.17)$$

where

$$A_{1\varepsilon} = \frac{r_1^l K_1^l}{r_1^u} \exp \left\{ \delta_1 \left[r_1^l K_1^l - r_1^u (M_1 + \varepsilon)^{\delta_1} \right] \tau \right\}, \quad (2.18)$$

$$A_{2\varepsilon} = A_{1\varepsilon} \exp \left[\delta_1 r_1^l K_1^l - \delta_1 H_1 u_1^* \right]. \quad (2.19)$$

Noticing that

$$\begin{aligned} & r_1^l K_1^l - r_1^u (M_1 + \varepsilon)^{\delta_1} \\ & \leq K_1^l - M_1^{\delta_1} \\ & = K_1^l - \frac{1}{r_1^l \delta_1} \exp \left\{ r_1^u \alpha_1^u \delta_1 (\tau + 1) - 1 \right\} \\ & \leq K_1^l - \frac{1}{r_1^l \delta_1} r_1^u \alpha_1^u \delta_1 (\tau + 1) \\ & < K_1^l - \alpha_1^u \\ & \leq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

and so, by using (2.20), one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta_1 r_1^l K_1^l - \delta_1 H_1 u_1^* \\ & = \delta_1 r_1^l K_1^l - \delta_1 H_1 \frac{1}{r_1^l \delta_1} \exp \left\{ \delta_1 r_1^u \alpha_1^u (\tau + 1) - 1 \right\} \\ & < \delta_1 r_1^l K_1^l - \frac{r_1^u}{r_1^l} \exp \left\{ \delta_1 r_1^u \alpha_1^u (\tau + 1) - 1 \right\} \\ & \leq \delta_1 r_1^l K_1^l - \delta_1 r_1^u \alpha_1^u (\tau + 1) \\ & \leq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

Therefore,

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} u_1(k) \geq A_{2\varepsilon}. \quad (2.22)$$

And so,

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} x_1(k) \geq \left(A_{2\varepsilon} \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta_1}}.$$

Setting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, then

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} x_1(k) \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(A_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta_1}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} m_1. \quad (2.23)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_2 & = A_1 \exp \left[\delta_1 r_1^l K_1^l - \delta_1 H_1^* u_1^* \right] \\ A_1 & = \frac{r_1^l K_1^l}{r_1^u} \exp \left\{ \delta_1 \left[r_1^l K_1^l - r_1^u (M_1)^{\delta_1} \right] \tau \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

Similarly to the analysis of (2.11)-(2.24), by applying (2.9), from the second equation of system (1.1), we also have that

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} x_2(k) \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(B_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta_2}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} m_2 > 0, \quad (2.25)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} B_2 & = B_1 \exp \left[\delta_2 r_2^l K_2^l - \delta_2 H_2 u_2^* \right] \\ B_1 & = \frac{r_2^l K_2^l}{r_2^u} \exp \left\{ \delta_2 \left[r_2^l K_2^l - r_2^u (M_2)^{\delta_2} \right] \tau \right\} \\ H_1^* & = r_1^u \exp \left\{ -\delta_1 \left[r_1^l K_1^l - r_1^u M_1^{\delta_1} \right] \tau \right\} \\ H_2 & = r_2^u \exp \left\{ -\delta_2 \left[r_2^l K_2^l - r_2^u (M_2)^{\delta_2} \right] \tau \right\}, \\ u_2^* & = \frac{1}{r_2^l \delta_2} \exp \left\{ \delta_2 r_2^u \alpha_2^u (\tau + 1) - 1 \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.26)$$

(2.8), (2.9) (2.23) and (2.26) show that system (1.1) is permanent. The proof of the Theorem 1.1 is completed.

Proof of the Theorem 1.2. Let $(x_1(k), x_2(k))$ be any positive solution of system (1.1) with initial condition (1.2). Similar to the analysis of (2.1)-(2.8), (2.11)-(2.23), we can obtain

$$m_1 \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} x_1(k) \leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} x_1(k) \leq M_1. \quad (2.27)$$

From (H), there exists a small enough positive constant ε such that

$$\frac{r_2^l K_2^l}{1 + (M_1 + \varepsilon)^{\beta_2}} + r_2^u \alpha_1^u < 0. \quad (2.28)$$

From (2.27), for above ε , there exists a $N_2 > 0$ such that for $k > N_2$,

$$x_1(k) < M_1 + \varepsilon. \quad (2.29)$$

Substituting (2.29) into the second equation of system (1.1), for $k \geq N_2 + \tau$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & x_2(k+1) \\ & = x_2(k) \exp \left\{ r_2(k) \left[\frac{K_2(k) + \alpha_2(k) x_1^{\beta_2}(k - \tau_1(k))}{1 + x_1^{\beta_2}(k - \tau_1(k))} - x_2^{\delta_2}(k - \sigma_2(k)) \right] \right\} \\ & \leq x_2(k) \exp \left\{ r_2(k) \left[\frac{K_2(k)}{1 + x_1^{\beta_2}(k - \tau_1(k))} + \alpha_2(k) \right] \right\} \\ & \leq x_2(k) \exp \left\{ \frac{r_2^l K_2^l}{1 + (M_1 + \varepsilon)^{\beta_2}} + r_2^u \alpha_2^u \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.30)$$

For condition (2.28), there exists small enough positive $\gamma > 0$, such that

$$\frac{r_2^l K_2^l}{1 + (M_1 + \varepsilon)^{\beta_2}} + r_2^u \alpha_2^u < -\gamma < 0. \quad (2.31)$$

Substituting (2.31) to (2.30), for all $k \geq N_2 + \tau$, it follows

$$x_2(k+1) \leq x_2(k) \exp \{-\gamma\}. \quad (2.32)$$

Therefore,

$$x_2(k+1) < x_2(N_2 + \tau) \exp \left\{ -[k - (N_2 + \tau)]\gamma \right\}, \quad (2.33)$$

which yields

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} x_2(k) = 0. \tag{2.34}$$

The proof of the Theorem 1.2 is completed.

Proof of the Theorem 1.3. Let $(x_1(k), x_2(k))$ be any positive solution of system (1.1) with initial condition (1.2). Let $(x_1(k), x_2(k))$ be any positive solution of system (1.1) with initial condition (1.2). Similar to the analysis of (2.1)-(2.24), we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} m_1 \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} x_1(k) &\leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} x_1(k) \leq M_1 \\ \limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} x_2(k) &\leq M_2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.35}$$

From (F), there exists a small enough positive constant ε , such that

$$\frac{K_2^l + \alpha_2^l(m_1 - \varepsilon)^{\beta_2}}{1 + (m_1 - \varepsilon)^{\beta_2}} > 0. \tag{2.36}$$

From (2.35) for above ε , there exists a $N_3 > 0$, such that for $k > N_3$

$$x_1(k) > m_1 - \varepsilon, \quad x_2(k) < M_2 + \varepsilon. \tag{2.37}$$

Substituting (2.37) into the second equation of system (1.1), for $k \geq N_3 + \tau$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &x_2(k+1) \\ &= x_2(k) \exp \left\{ r_2(k) \left[\frac{K_2(k) + \alpha_2(k)x_1^{\beta_2}(k - \tau_1(k))}{1 + x_1^{\beta_2}(k - \tau_1(k))} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - x_2^{\delta_2}(k - \sigma_2(k)) \right] \right\} \\ &\geq x_2(k) \exp \left\{ \frac{r_2^l [K_2^l + \alpha_2^l(m_1 - \varepsilon)^{\beta_2}]}{1 + (m_1 - \varepsilon)^{\beta_2}} - r_2^u (M_2 + \varepsilon)^{\delta_1} \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.38}$$

By using (2.38) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} x_2(k - \sigma_2(k)) &\leq x_2(k) \exp \left\{ - \left[r_2^l \frac{K_2^l + \alpha_2^l(m_1 - \varepsilon)^{\beta_2}}{1 + (m_1 - \varepsilon)^{\beta_2}} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - r_2^u (M_2 + \varepsilon)^{\delta_2} \right] \tau \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.39}$$

Substituting (2.39) into the second equation of system (1.1), for $k \geq N_3 + \tau$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} x_2(k+1) &\geq x_2(k) \exp \left\{ \frac{r_2^l [K_2^l + \alpha_2^l(m_1 - \varepsilon)^{\beta_2}]}{1 + (m_1 - \varepsilon)^{\beta_2}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - H_3 x_2^{\delta_2}(k) \right\}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.40}$$

where

$$H_3 = r_2^u \exp \left\{ -\delta_2 \left[r_2^l \frac{K_2^l + \alpha_2^l(m_1 - \varepsilon)^{\beta_2}}{1 + (m_1 - \varepsilon)^{\beta_2}} - r_2^u (M_2 + \varepsilon)^{\delta_2} \right] \tau \right\},$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} x_2^{\delta_2}(k+1) &\geq x_2^{\delta_2}(k) \exp \left\{ \frac{\delta_2 r_2^l [K_2^l + \alpha_2^l(m_1 - \varepsilon)^{\beta_2}]}{1 + (m_1 - \varepsilon)^{\beta_2}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \delta_2 H_3 x_2^{\delta_2}(k) \right\}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.41}$$

Set

$$u_2(k) = x_2^{\delta_2}(k), \tag{2.42}$$

then,

$$\begin{aligned} u_2(k+1) &\geq u_2(k) \exp \left\{ \frac{\delta_2 r_2^l [K_2^l + \alpha_2^l(m_1 - \varepsilon)^{\beta_2}]}{1 + (m_1 - \varepsilon)^{\beta_2}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \delta_2 H_3 u_2(k) \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.43}$$

According to (2.9), we have

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} u_2(k) \leq \frac{1}{r_2^l \delta_2} \exp \left\{ \delta_2 r_2^u \alpha_2^u (\tau + 1) - 1 \right\} = u_2^*. \tag{2.44}$$

Thus, as a direct corollary of Lemma 2.2, according to (2.43) and (2.44), one has

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} u_2(k) \geq \min \{ C_{1\varepsilon}, C_{2\varepsilon} \}, \tag{2.45}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} C_{1\varepsilon} &= \frac{r_2^l [K_2^l + \alpha_2^l(m_1 - \varepsilon)^{\beta_2}]}{r_2^u [1 + m_1 - \varepsilon]^{\beta_2}} \exp \left\{ \delta_2 \right. \\ &\quad \left[r_2^l \frac{K_2^l + \alpha_2^l(m_1 - \varepsilon)^{\beta_2}}{1 + (m_1 - \varepsilon)^{\beta_2}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - r_2^u (M_2 + \varepsilon)^{\delta_2} \right] \tau \right\}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.46}$$

$$\begin{aligned} C_{2\varepsilon} &= C_{1\varepsilon} \exp \left\{ \frac{\delta_2 r_2^l [K_2^l + \alpha_2^l(m_1 - \varepsilon)^{\beta_2}]}{1 + (m_1 - \varepsilon)^{\beta_2}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \delta_2 H_3 u_2^* \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.47}$$

And so

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} x_2(k) \geq \min \left\{ \left(C_{1\varepsilon} \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta_1}}, \left(C_{2\varepsilon} \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta_2}} \right\}.$$

Setting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, then

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} x_2(k) \geq \frac{1}{2} \min \left\{ \left(C_1 \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta_1}}, \left(C_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta_1}} \right\} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} m_3. \tag{2.48}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} C_2 &= C_1 \exp \left\{ \frac{\delta_2 r_2^l [K_2^l + \alpha_2^l m_1^{\beta_2}]}{1 + m_1^{\beta_2}} - \delta_2 H_3^* u_2^* \right\} \\ C_1 &= \frac{r_2^l (K_2^l + \alpha_2^l m_1^{\beta_2})}{r_2^u (1 + m_1^{\beta_2})} \exp \left\{ \delta_2 \left[\frac{r_2^l (K_2^l + \alpha_2^l m_1^{\beta_2})}{1 + m_1^{\beta_2}} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - r_2^u M_2^{\delta_2} \right] \tau \right\}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.49}$$

where

$$H_3^* = r_2^u \exp \left\{ -\delta_2 \left[r_2^l \frac{K_2^l + \alpha_2^l m_1^{\beta_2}}{1 + m_1^{\beta_2}} - r_2^u M_2^{\delta_2} \right] \tau \right\}. \quad (2.50)$$

(2.35), (2.49) and (2.50) show that system (1.1) is permanent. The proof of the Theorem 1.3 is completed.

III. DISCUSSION

In this paper, we proposed the nonlinear discrete cooperative system (1.1), which can be seen as the generalization of the model (1.2). Under the assumption (A), we show that system (1.1) is permanent, the result generalize the main result of Chen [2]. Also, it bring to our attention that in the nature, many species could not be survival without the help of the other species, this motivated us to study the dynamic behaviors of the system (1.1) under the assumption (B). By developing some new analysis technique, we finally obtain sufficient conditions which ensure the partial survival or permanence of the system.

IV. DECLARATIONS

Competing interests

The author declare that there is no conflict of interests.

Funding

The research was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Fujian Province (2019J01783).

Authors' Contributions

All authors contributed equally to the writing of this paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank Dr.Qifa Lin for useful discussion about the mathematical modeling.

REFERENCES

- [1] Y. K. Li, "Positive periodic solutions of a discrete mutualism model with time delays," *International Journal Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 2005, no. 4, pp499-506, 2005.
- [2] F. D. Chen, "Permanence for the discrete mutualism model with time delays," *Mathematical Computer Modelling*, vol. 2008, no. 47, pp431-435, 2008.
- [3] F. D. Chen, X. D. Xie, "Study on the dynamic behaviors of cooperation population modeling," Science Press, Beijing, 2014.
- [4] L. J. Chen, L. J. Chen, Z. Li, "Permanence of a delayed discrete mutualism model with feedback controls," *Mathematical Computer Modelling*, vol. 2009, no. 50, pp1083-1089, 2009.
- [5] L. J. Chen, X. D. Xie, "Feedback control variables have no influence on the permanence of a discrete N-species cooperation system," *Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society*, vol. 2009, Article ID 306425, 2009.
- [6] X. D. Xie, F. D. Chen, Y. L. Xue, "Note on the stability property of a cooperative system incorporating harvesting," *Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society*, vol. 2014, Article ID 327823, 2014.
- [7] X. D. Xie, F. D. Chen, K. Yang, Y. L. Xue, "Global attractivity of an integro-differential model of mutualism," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2014, Article ID 928726, 2014.
- [8] Y. L. Xue, X. D. Xie, F. D. Chen, R. Y. Han, "Almost periodic solution of a discrete commensalism system," *Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society*, vol. 2015, Article ID 295483, 2015.
- [9] Y. K. Li, T. Zhang, "Permanence of a discrete N-species cooperation system with time-varying delays and feedback controls," *Mathematical Computer Modelling*, vol. 2011, no. 53, pp1320-1330, 2011.
- [10] X. P. Li, W. S. Yang, "Permanence of a discrete model of mutualism with infinite deviating arguments," *Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society*, vol. 2010, Article ID 931798, 2010.
- [11] Z. J. Liu, J. H. Wu, R. H. Tan, et al., "Modeling and analysis of a periodic delays two-species model of facultative mutualism," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 217, no. 2, pp893-903, 2010.
- [12] Z. J. Liu, R. H. Tan, Y. P. Chen, et al., "On the stable periodic solutions of a delayed two-species model of facultative mutualism," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 196, no. 1, pp105-117, 2008.
- [13] K. Yang, X. D. Xie, F. D. Chen, "Global stability of a discrete mutualism model," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2014, Article ID 709124, 2014.
- [14] K. Yang, Z. S. Miao, F. D. Chen, X. D. Xie, "Influence of single feedback control variable on an autonomous Holling-II type cooperative system," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 435, no.1, pp874-888, 2016.
- [15] W. S. Yang, X. P. Li, "Permanence of a discrete nonlinear N-species cooperation system with time delays and feedback controls," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 218, no. 7, pp3581-3586, 2011.
- [16] F. D. Chen, "On a nonlinear non-autonomous predator-prey model with diffusion and distributed delay," *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 180, no. 1, pp33-49, 2005.
- [17] F. D. Chen, "Average conditions for permanence and extinction in nonautonomous Gilpin-Ayala competition model," *Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications*, vol. 7, no.4, pp895-915, 2006.
- [18] F. D. Chen, X. D. Xie, Z. S. Miao, et al, "Extinction in two species nonautonomous nonlinear competitive system," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 274, pp119-124, 2016.
- [19] F. D. Chen, L. P. Wu, Z. Li, "Permanence and global attractivity of the discrete Gilpin-Ayala type population model," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 53, no. 8, pp1214-1227, 2007.
- [20] L. Q. Pu, X. D. Xie, F. D. Chen, et al, "Extinction in two-species nonlinear discrete competitive system," *Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society*, vol. 2016, Article ID 2806405, 2016.
- [21] Y. L. Yu, L. Ru, K. Fang, "Bio-inspired mobility prediction clustering algorithm for ad hoc uav networks," *Engineering Letters*, vol. 24, no. 3, pp328-337, 2016.
- [22] Y. Q. Li, L. J. Xu, T. W. Zhang, "Dynamics of almost periodic mutualism model with time delays," *IAENG International Journal of Applied Mathematics*, vol. 48, no. 2, pp168-176, 2018.
- [23] L. L. Wang, P. L. Xie, "Permanence and extinction of delayed stage-structured predator-prey system on time scales," *Engineering Letters*, vol. 25, no. 2, pp147-151, 2017.
- [24] J. Wang, "New stability criteria for a class of stochastic systems with time delays," *IAENG International Journal of Applied Mathematics*, vol. 46, no. 2, pp261-267, 2016.
- [25] W. Du, S. Qin, J. Zhang, J. Yu, "Dynamical behavior and bifurcation analysis of SEIR epidemic model and its discretization," *IAENG International Journal of Applied Mathematics*, vol. 47, no. 1, pp1-8, 2017.
- [26] F. D. Chen, H. Wu, X. D. Xie, "Global attractivity of a discrete cooperative system incorporating harvesting," *Advances in Difference Equation*, vol. 2016, Article ID 268, 2016.
- [27] Q. Y. Jiang, J. X. Xie, J. Ye, "Mathematical Modelling, Fourth edition," High Education Press, Beijing, 2011.
- [28] Y. F. Chen, R. Y. Han, L. Y. Yang, et al. "Global asymptotical stability of an obligate Lotka-Volterra mutualism model," *Annals of Differential Equations*, vol. 30, no. 3, pp267-271, 2013.
- [29] B. Chen, "Dynamic behaviors of a commensal symbiosis model involving Allee effect and one party can not survive independently," *Advances in Difference Equation*, vol. 2018, Article ID 212, 2018.
- [30] R. Wu, L. Li, "Dynamic behaviors of a commensal symbiosis model with ratio-dependent functional response and one party can not survive independently," *Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science*, vol. 16, no. 4, pp495-506, 2016.