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Abstract—We study a two species discrete competitive system with Beddington-DeAngelis functional response in this paper. Sufficient conditions which guarantee the extinction of a species and the global attractivity of the other one are obtained. Our results supplement some existing ones.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, for any bounded sequence \( \{h(n)\} \), we define \( h^\uparrow = \inf_{n \in N} h(n) \), \( h^\downarrow = \sup_{n \in N} h(n) \).

Motivated by Gopalsamy [1], Wang et al. [2] proposed the following Lotka-Volterra competitive system:

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_1(t) &= x_1(t) \left( r_1(t) - a_1(t)x_1(t) - \frac{b_1(t)x_2(t)}{1 + x_2(t)} \right), \\
\dot{x}_2(t) &= x_2(t) \left( r_2(t) - a_2(t)x_2(t) - \frac{b_2(t)x_1(t)}{1 + x_1(t)} \right).
\end{align*}
\] (1)

By using a differential inequality, the authors obtained sufficient conditions which ensure the existence and global asymptotic stability of positive almost periodic solutions. For more works, one could refer to [3-21] and the references cited therein.

Corresponding to system (1), several scholars [3-6] investigated the dynamic behaviors of the discrete type two species competitive system with nonlinear inter-inhibition terms,

\[
\begin{align*}
x_1(n+1) &= x_1(n) \exp \{ r_1(n) - a_1(n)x_1(n) - \frac{b_1(n)x_2(n)}{1 + x_2(n)} \}, \\
x_2(n+1) &= x_2(n) \exp \{ r_2(n) - a_2(n)x_2(n) - \frac{b_2(n)x_1(n)}{1 + x_1(n)} \}.
\end{align*}
\] (2)

For the ecological meaning of model(2), see [3]. Qin et al. [3] and Wang et al. [4] obtained the permanence, stability, and almost periodic solutions of system (2). Yue [7] considered the partial extinction of system (2) with one toxin producing species.

In [8], Ma et al. investigated the following discrete two-species competitive system:

\[
\begin{align*}
x_1(n+1) &= x_1(n) \exp \{ r_1(n) - a_1(n)x_1(n) - \frac{b_1(n)x_2(n)}{1 + \beta_1(n)x_1(n)} \}, \\
x_2(n+1) &= x_2(n) \exp \{ r_2(n) - a_2(n)x_2(n) - \frac{b_2(n)x_1(n)}{1 + \beta_2(n)x_2(n)} \}.
\end{align*}
\] (3)

and obtained the almost periodic solutions of the system. Based on the above papers, Chen, Chen and Huang [9] proposed the following two species non-autonomous competitive system with Beddington-DeAngelis functional response and the effect of toxic substances

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_1(t) &= x_1(t) \left( r_1(t) - a_1(t)x_1(t) - \frac{b_1(t)x_2(t)}{1 + \beta_1(t)x_1(t) + \gamma_1(t)x_2(t)} \right), \\
\dot{x}_2(t) &= x_2(t) \left( r_2(t) - a_2(t)x_2(t) - \frac{b_2(t)x_1(t)}{1 + \beta_2(t)x_2(t) + \gamma_2(t)x_1(t)} \right)
\end{align*}
\] (4)

they obtained the partial extinction of system. Recently, Yu and Chen [10] investigated the dynamic behaviors of system (4) without the effect of toxic substances:

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_1(t) &= x_1(t) \left( r_1(t) - a_1(t)x_1(t) - \frac{b_1(t)x_2(t)}{1 + \beta_1(t)x_1(t) + \gamma_1(t)x_2(t)} \right), \\
\dot{x}_2(t) &= x_2(t) \left( r_2(t) - a_2(t)x_2(t) - \frac{b_2(t)x_1(t)}{1 + \beta_2(t)x_2(t) + \gamma_2(t)x_1(t)} \right).
\end{align*}
\] (5)

Their results supplement the main results of [3, 9] and generalize [2].

It is well known that the discrete time models are more appropriate when the populations have nonoverlapping generations, and this motivated us to propose the discrete time version of system (5) as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
x_1(n+1) &= x_1(n) \exp \{ r_1(n) - a_1(n)x_1(n) - \frac{b_1(n)x_2(n)}{1 + \beta_1(n)x_1(n) + \gamma_1(n)x_2(n)} \}, \\
x_2(n+1) &= x_2(n) \exp \{ r_2(n) - a_2(n)x_2(n) - \frac{b_2(n)x_1(n)}{1 + \beta_2(n)x_2(n) + \gamma_2(n)x_1(n)} \}.
\end{align*}
\] (6)
where \(x_1(n), x_2(n)\) are population density of species \(x_1\) and \(x_2\) at the \(n\)th generation, respectively. The coefficients \(r_i(n), a_i(n), b_i(n), \beta_i(n), \gamma_i(n)\ (i = 1, 2)\) are all bounded nonnegative sequences.

As regards the biological meaning, we assume (6) together with the initial conditions: \(x_1(0) > 0, x_2(0) > 0\). It is not difficult to see that the solutions of (6) are positive.

The aim of this paper is to investigate the extinction property of the system (6). The organization is as follows. Section 2 is devoted to the results on extinction for system (6). In Section 3, we study the global stability of another species when the previous species is eventual extinction. Then, in Section 4, two examples with numerical simulations are given to illustrate the feasibility of the main results. Finally, we conclude in Section 5.

II. EXTINCTION

In this section, we will establish sufficient conditions on the extinction of species \(x_1\) and \(x_2\). First, let us introduce the following lemma which will be useful for our main result.

**Lemma 2.1 ([11]).** Assume that \(\{x(n)\}\) satisfies \(x(n) > 0\), and

\[
x(n + 1) \leq x(n) \exp\{a(n) - b(n)x(n)\}
\]

for \(n \in \mathbb{N}\), where \(a(n)\) and \(b(n)\) are nonnegative sequences bounded above and below by positive constants. Then

\[
\limsup_{n \to +\infty} x(n) \leq \frac{1}{\theta} \exp(a^n - 1).
\]

**Lemma 2.2.** Any positive solution \((x_1(n), x_2(n))^T\) of system (6) satisfies

\[
\limsup_{n \to +\infty} x_i(n) \leq M_i,
\]

where \(M_i = \frac{1}{\theta_i} \exp(r_i^n - 1), i = 1, 2\).

**Proof.** Let \((x_1(n), x_2(n))^T\) be any positive solution of system (6). From the first and second equation of system (6), it follows that

\[
x_i(n + 1) \leq x_i(n) \exp\{r_i(n) - a_i(n)x_i(n)\}, \quad i = 1, 2.
\]

By applying Lemma 2.1 to (7), we have

\[
\limsup_{n \to +\infty} x_i(n) \leq \frac{1}{\theta_i} \exp(r_i^n - 1) \overset{\text{def}}{=} M_i, \quad i = 1, 2.
\]

Lemma 2.2 shows that the positive solutions of system (6) are bounded eventually.

**Theorem 2.1.** Assume

\[
(H_1) \quad \frac{r_i^n}{r_1} < \min\left\{ \frac{b_i}{\alpha_i^n}, \frac{\gamma_i^n}{\beta_i^n}, \frac{\alpha_i^n}{b_1} \right\}
\]

holds, where \(M_i, i = 1, 2\) is defined in Theorem 2.1, then the species \(x_2\) will be driven to extinction, that is, for any positive solution \((x_1(n), x_2(n))^T\) of system (6), \(\lim_{n \to +\infty} x_2(n) = 0\).

**Proof.** According to \((H_1)\), one can choose a small enough positive constant \(\varepsilon_1\) such that

\[
\frac{r_i^n}{r_1} < \min\left\{ \frac{b_i}{\alpha_i^n}, \frac{\gamma_i^n}{\beta_i^n}, \frac{\alpha_i^n}{b_1} \right\}
\]

By (9), there exist two positive constants \(p\) and \(q\) such that

\[
\frac{r_i^n}{r_1^q} < \frac{p}{q} < \min\left\{ \frac{b_i}{\alpha_i^n}, \frac{\gamma_i^n}{\beta_i^n}, \frac{\alpha_i^n}{b_1} \right\}.
\]

Thus,

\[
pa_i^n - \frac{\alpha_i^n}{\alpha_i^n + \beta_i^n(M_1 + \varepsilon_1) + \gamma_i^n(M_2 + \varepsilon_1)} < 0,
\]

For the above \(\varepsilon_1\), it follows from Theorem 2.1 that there exists a large enough \(N_1\) such that for all \(n \geq N_1\),

\[
x_i(n) < M_i + \varepsilon_1, \quad i = 1, 2.
\]

For any \(k > N_1\), according to the equations of system (6) and (12), we can get

\[
\ln \frac{x_2(k) + 1}{x_1(k)} = \frac{r_1(k) - a_1(k)x_1(k)}{x_1(k)} - \frac{b_1(k)x_2(k)}{\alpha_1(k) + \beta_1(k)x_1(k) + \gamma_1^n(M_2 + \varepsilon_1)}.
\]

(13)

Consider the following Lyapunov type extinction function, for \(k > N_1\), from (11)-(13), we have

\[
q \ln \frac{x_2(k + 1)}{x_2(k)} - p \ln \frac{x_1(k + 1)}{x_1(k)} \leq (q \alpha_i^n - \beta_i^n(M_1 + \varepsilon_1) + \gamma_i^n(M_2 + \varepsilon_1))\frac{x_1(k)}{x_2(k)} + \frac{\gamma_i^n}{\beta_i^n} - qa_i^n < 0
\]

Summing both sides of the above inequalities from \(N + 1\) to \(n - 1\) leads to

\[
q \ln \frac{x_2(n)}{x_2(N + 1)} - p \ln \frac{x_1(n)}{x_1(N + 1)} < -\delta_1(n - N - 1),
\]

hence

\[
x_2(n) < \left[\frac{x_1(n)}{x_1(N + 1)}\right]^{\frac{1}{q}} x_2(N + 1) \exp(-\frac{\delta_1(n - N - 1)}{q}).
\]

The above inequality together with the ultimate boundedness of \(x_1(n)\) shows that \(\lim_{n \to +\infty} x_2(n) = 0\). The proof is completed.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let \((x_1(n), x_2(n))^T\) be any positive solution of system (6). Suppose

\[
(H_2) \quad \frac{r_i^n}{r_1^q} > \max\left\{ \frac{b_i}{\alpha_i^n}, \frac{\gamma_i^n}{\beta_i^n}, \frac{\alpha_i^n}{b_1} \right\}
\]
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holds, where \( M_i, i = 1, 2 \) are defined in Theorem 2.1, then the species \( x_1 \) will be driven to extinction, that is, 
\[
\lim_{n \to +\infty} x_1(n) = 0.
\]

**Proof.** Due to \((H_2)\), one can choose a small enough positive constant \( \varepsilon_2 \) such that
\[
\frac{r_1^l}{r_1^u} > \max\left\{ \frac{b_2^u}{\alpha_2^u a_1^u}, \frac{\alpha_1^u + \beta_1^u(M_1 + \varepsilon_2) + \gamma_1^u(M_2 + \varepsilon_2)}{b_1^u} \right\}.
\]
(17)
By (17), there exist two positive constants \( p \) and \( q \) such that
\[
\frac{r_1^l}{r_1^u} \geq \frac{p}{q} > \max\left\{ \frac{b_2^u}{\alpha_2^u a_1^u}, \frac{\alpha_1^u + \beta_1^u(M_1 + \varepsilon_2) + \gamma_1^u(M_2 + \varepsilon_2)}{b_1^u} \right\}.
\]
(18)
Thus,
\[
\begin{align*}
pr_1^l - qr_1^u & \triangleq -\delta_2 < 0, \\
-pa_1^l + \frac{q b_2^u}{\alpha_2^u} & < 0, \\
\frac{pb_1^l}{\alpha_1^u + \beta_1^u(M_1 + \varepsilon_2) + \gamma_1^u(M_2 + \varepsilon_2)} + qa_2^u & < 0.
\end{align*}
\]
(19)
For the above \( \varepsilon_2 \), it follows from Theorem 2.1 that there exists a large enough \( N_2 \) such that for all \( n \geq N_2 \),
\[
x_1(n) < M_1 + \varepsilon_2, \quad i = 1, 2.
\]
(20)
For any \( k > N_2 \), according to the equations of system (6) and (20), we can get
\[
\ln \frac{x_1(k + 1)}{x_1(k)} \leq r_1^u - a_1^l x_1(k)
\]
\[
\ln \frac{x_2(k + 1)}{x_2(k)} \geq r_2^l - a_2^u x_2(k) - \frac{b_1^l x_2(k)}{\alpha_1^u + \beta_1^u(M_1 + \varepsilon_2) + \gamma_1^u(M_2 + \varepsilon_2)}.
\]
(21)
Therefore, inequalities (19)-(21) show that
\[
\begin{align*}
p \ln \frac{x_1(k + 1)}{x_1(k)} - q \ln \frac{x_2(k + 1)}{x_2(k)} \\
\leq (pr_1^m - qr_1^u) + \frac{q b_2^u}{\alpha_2^u} x_1(k) \\
+ |qa_2^u - \frac{pb_1^l}{\alpha_1^u + \beta_1^u(M_1 + \varepsilon_2) + \gamma_1^u(M_2 + \varepsilon_2)}| x_2(k)
\end{align*}
\]
\[
< -\delta_2 < 0, \quad k > N_2.
\]
(22)
Similarly to the analysis in Theorem 2.1, we can get
\[
\lim_{n \to +\infty} x_1(n) = 0.
\]

### III. GLOBAL STABILITY

In Section 2, we get sufficient conditions which guarantee the extinction of the first or second species in system (6). Following, we investigate the stability property of the rest species. Let us first state several lemmas which will be useful in the proof of the main result of this section.

**Lemma 3.1 ([12]).** Assume that \( \{x(n)\} \) satisfies
\[
x(n + 1) \geq x(n) \exp\{a(n) - b(n)x(n)\}, \quad n \geq N_0,
\]
\[
\limsup_{n \to +\infty} x(n) \leq x^* \quad \text{and} \quad x(N_0) > 0, \quad \text{where} \quad a(n) \quad \text{and} \quad b(n) \quad \text{are nonnegative sequences bounded above and below by positive constants and} \quad N_0 \in N. \quad \text{Then}
\]
\[
\liminf_{n \to +\infty} x(n) \geq \min\left\{ \frac{a_1^l}{b_1^u} \exp\left[ a_1^l x^* - b_1^u \varepsilon \right], \frac{a_1^l}{b_1^u} \right\}.
\]

**Lemma 3.2.** Suppose \((H_1)\) holds, and \((x_1(n), x_2(n))^T\) be any positive solution of system (6), then
\[
m_1 \leq \liminf_{n \to +\infty} x_1(n) \leq \limsup_{n \to +\infty} x_1(n) \leq M_1,
\]
where \( m_1 = \frac{r_1^l}{a_1^u} \exp(r_1^l - a_1^u M_1) \) and \( M_1 \) is defined in Lemma 2.1.

**Proof.** It follows from Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 2.1 that
\[
\limsup_{n \to +\infty} x_1(n) \leq M_1, \quad \liminf_{n \to +\infty} x_2(n) = 0.
\]
To end the proof of Lemma 3.2, we just need to show that
\[
\liminf_{n \to +\infty} x_1(n) \geq m_1.
\]
Since \( r_1^l > 0 \), there exists a small enough \( \varepsilon_3 > 0 \) such that
\[
r_1^l - \frac{b_2^u \varepsilon_3}{a_1^u} > 0.
\]
(24)
According to (23), for the above \( \varepsilon_3 > 0 \), there exists a large enough \( N_3 \) such that, for \( n \geq N_3 \), it follows from Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 2.1 that
\[
x_1(n + 1) \geq x_1(n) \exp\left\{ r_1^l - a_1^u x_1(n) - \frac{b_2^u x_1(n)}{a_1^u} \right\}.
\]
(25)
Thus, it follows from (25) and the first equation of system (6) that
\[
x_1(n + 1) \geq x_1(n) \exp\left\{ r_1^l - a_1^u x_1(n) - \frac{b_2^u \varepsilon_3}{a_1^u} \right\}.
\]
(26)
Since \( r_1^l - \frac{b_2^u \varepsilon_3}{a_1^u} > 0 \), by applying Lemma 3.1 to (26), it immediately follows that
\[
\liminf_{n \to +\infty} x_1(n) \geq \min\left\{ \frac{r_1^l - \frac{b_2^u \varepsilon_3}{a_1^u}}{a_1^u}, \frac{r_1^l - \frac{b_2^u \varepsilon_3}{a_1^u}}{a_1^u} \right\}.
\]
(27)
Setting \( \varepsilon_3 \to 0 \) in the above inequality, one can obtain
\[
\liminf_{n \to +\infty} x_1(n) \geq \min\left\{ \frac{r_1^l}{a_1^u}, \frac{r_1^l}{a_1^u} \right\}.
\]
(28)
By calculation, one can easily get
\[
r_1^l - a_1^u M_1 = r_1^l - a_1^u \exp\left( r_1^l - 1 \right) \leq r_1^l - r_1^l \leq 0.
\]
(29)
Inequality (28) together with (29) lead to
\[
\liminf_{n \to +\infty} x_1(n) \geq \frac{r_1^l}{a_1^u} \exp(r_1^l - a_1^u M_1) \quad \text{def} \quad m_1.
\]
(30)
This ends the proof of Lemma 3.2.

Lemma 3.3. Suppose (H2) holds, and \((x_1(n), x_2(n))^T\) be any positive solution of system (6), then
\[
\liminf_{n \to +\infty} x_2(n) \leq \limsup_{n \to +\infty} x_2(n) \leq M_2,
\]
where \(M_2 = \frac{r_2^1}{a_2} \exp(r_2^1 - a_2^1 M_2)\) and \(M_2\) is defined in Lemma 2.1.

Proof. The proof of Lemma 3.3 is similar to that of the proof of Lemma 3.2, we omit the details here.

Consider the following discrete logistic equation:
\[
x(n + 1) = x(n) \exp\{r_1(n) - a_1(n) x(n)\}, \quad n \in N, \quad (31)
\]
where \(r_1(n)\) and \(a_1(n)\) are bounded nonnegative sequences.

Lemma 3.4 ([13]). For any positive solution \(x(n)\) of (31), we have
\[
m_1 \leq \liminf_{n \to +\infty} x(n) \leq \limsup_{n \to +\infty} x(n) \leq M_1,
\]
where \(m_1\) and \(M_1\) are defined by Lemma 3.2.

Consider the following discrete logistic equation:
\[
x(n + 1) = x(n) \exp\{r_2(n) - a_2(n) x(n)\}, \quad n \in N, \quad (32)
\]
where \(r_2(n)\) and \(a_2(n)\) are bounded nonnegative sequences.

Lemma 3.5 ([13]). For any positive solution \(\tilde{x}(n)\) of (32), we have
\[
m_2 \leq \liminf_{n \to +\infty} \tilde{x}(n) \leq \limsup_{n \to +\infty} \tilde{x}(n) \leq M_2,
\]
where \(m_2\) and \(M_2\) are defined by Lemma 3.3.

Now, we come to showing the main results of this section.

Theorem 3.1. Suppose (H1) holds, further suppose that
\[
(H_3) \quad \frac{a_1^n}{a_1^1} \exp(r_1^1 - 1) < 2,
\]
then
\[
\lim_{n \to +\infty} (x_1(n) - x(n)) = 0, \quad \lim_{n \to +\infty} x_2(n) = 0.
\]

where \((x_1(n), x_2(n))^T\) and \(x(n)\) are any two positive solutions of system (6) and (31), respectively.

Proof. It follows from Theorem 2.1 that
\[
\lim_{n \to +\infty} x_2(n) = 0. \quad (33)
\]
Set \(y(n) = \ln x_1(n) - \ln x(n)\), then it follows from the first equation of system (6) and (31) that
\[
y(n + 1) = \ln x_1(n) + \{r_1(n) - a_1(n)x_1(n) - \frac{b_1(n)x_2(n)}{a_1(n) + b_1(n)x_1(n) + \gamma_1(n)x_2(n)}\}
\]
\[
- \ln x(n) - \{r_1(n) - a_1(n)x(n)\},
\]
\[
y(n) - a_1(n)(x_1(n) - x(n))
\]
\[
\frac{b_1(n)x_2(n)}{a_1(n) + b_1(n)x_1(n) + \gamma_1(n)x_2(n)}.
\]

Using the mean value theorem, we can obtain
\[
\exp(y(n)) - 1 = y(n) \exp[\theta(n)y(n)], \quad \theta(n) \in (0, 1). \quad (35)
\]
Substituting (35) into the equation (34), we can get
\[
y(n + 1) = \left[1 - a_1^n(M_1 + \varepsilon)\right] y(n) + \frac{b_1^n}{a_1^n} \varepsilon. \quad (36)
\]

Considering \((H_3)\) implies that \(-1 < -a_1^n M_1\), there exists a small enough \(\varepsilon > 0\) such that
\[
-1 < -a_1^n(M_1 + \varepsilon). \quad (37)
\]
According to Lemma 3.2, Lemma 3.4, and (33), for the above \(\varepsilon > 0\), there exists large enough \(N > 0\), such that, for \(n \geq N\),
\[
m_1 + \varepsilon \leq x_1(n) \leq M_1 + \varepsilon,
\]
\[
m_1 + \varepsilon \leq x(n) \leq M_1 + \varepsilon, \quad x_2(n) \leq \varepsilon. \quad (38)
\]
Note that \(\theta(n) \in (0, 1)\) implies that \(x(n) \exp(\theta(n)y(n))\) lies between \(x(n)\) and \(x_1(n)\). From (36) and (38), for \(n \geq N\), one can get
\[
|y(n + 1)| \leq \max\{|1 - a_1^n(M_1 + \varepsilon)|, \quad |1 - a_1^n(m_1 - \varepsilon)|\}|y(n)| + \frac{b_1^n}{a_1^n} \varepsilon. \quad (39)
\]
\[
|1 - a_1^n(m_1 - \varepsilon)||y(n)| + \frac{b_1^n}{a_1^n} \varepsilon,
\]
where \(\lambda_\varepsilon = \max\{|1 - a_1^n(M_1 + \varepsilon)|, \quad |1 - a_1^n(m_1 - \varepsilon)|\}.\) This implies that
\[
|y(n)| \leq \lambda_\varepsilon^n - N|y(N)| + \frac{1 - \lambda_\varepsilon^n - N}{1 - \lambda_\varepsilon} \frac{b_1^n}{a_1^n} \varepsilon, \quad (n \geq N). \quad (40)
\]

Note that
\[
-1 < -a_1^n(M_1 + \varepsilon) \leq |1 - a_1^n(m_1 - \varepsilon)| < 1,
\]
hence \(0 < \lambda_\varepsilon < 1\). Thus, \(\lim_{n \to +\infty} y(n) = 0\) can be immediately obtained by (40), and so \(\lim_{n \to +\infty} (x_1(n) - x(n)) = 0\). This ends the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Similarly, by using Lemmas 3.3 and 3.5, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.2. In addition to the conditions of Theorem 2.2,
further suppose that \((H_4)\) \(\frac{a_i^u}{a_i^l} \exp(r_i^n - 1) < 2\). Then for any positive solution \((x_1(n), x_2(n))\) of system (6) and any positive solution \(\tilde{x}(n)\) of system (32), we have
\[
\lim_{n \to +\infty} (x_2(n) - \tilde{x}(n)) = 0, \quad \lim_{n \to +\infty} x_1(n) = 0.
\]

IV. NUMERIC SIMULATIONS

In this section, we give the following two examples to verify the feasibilities of our results.

Example 4.1. Consider the following system:
\[
x_1(n + 1) = x_1(n) \exp\{1.5 - 1.5x_1(n) - (1 + 0.8\sin(\sqrt{n})x_2(n)
- 0.6 + (0.2 + \sin(\sqrt{n})x_1(n) + 0.2x_2(n))\},
x_2(n + 1) = x_2(n) \exp\{0.7 - 0.9x_2(n)
- (3 + \cos(\sqrt{5n})x_1(n) - 0.9 + (0.6 + 0.1\cos(\sqrt{4n})x_1(n) + 0.2x_2(n))\},
\]
(41)

Take easy calculation, we have \(\frac{r_1^u}{r_1^l} \approx 0.4667\), \(M_1 \approx 1.0991\), \(M_2 \approx 0.8231\), \(a_i^u(a_i^u + \beta_i^u M_1 + \gamma_i^u M_2) \approx 0.7270, a_i^l a_i^u \approx 0.63\). Thus, condition \((H_1)\) is satisfied and it follows from Theorem 2.1 that, for any positive solution \((x_1(n), x_2(n))\) of system (41), we have \(\lim_{n \to +\infty}(x_1(n) - x(n)) = 0, \lim_{n \to +\infty} x_2(n) = 0\), where \(x(n)\) is any positive solution of the system
\[
x_1(n + 1) = x_1(n) \exp\{1.5 - 1.5x_1(n)\}.
\]

Figure 1 supports the conclusion.

Example 4.2. Consider the following system:
\[
x_1(n + 1) = x_1(n) \exp\{0.4 - 1.75x_1(n) - \frac{(3.4 + 0.4\sin(\sqrt{3n})x_2(n)}{2 + (3.5 + 0.5\cos(\sqrt{7n})x_1(n) + x_2(n))},
x_2(n + 1) = x_2(n) \exp\{1.6 - 1.3x_2(n)\}
- (3 + \cos(\sqrt{5n})x_1(n) - 0.8 + (0.6 + 0.4\sin(\sqrt{5n})x_1(n) + 0.2x_2(n))\},
\]
(42)

Take easy calculation, we have \(\frac{r_2^u}{r_2^l} = 4\), \(M_1 \approx 0.3136, M_2 \approx 1.4016, \frac{b_2^u}{a_2^l} \approx 2.8571, a_2^u(a_2^u + \beta_2^u M_1 + \gamma_2^u M_2) \approx 2.0176\). It shows that \((H_2)\) holds and according to Theorem 2.2 for system (42), species \(x_1(n)\) is driven to extinction while species \(x_2(n)\) is asymptotic to any positive solution of 
\[
x_2(n + 1) = x_2(n) \exp\{1.6 - 1.3x_2(n)\}.
\]

Figure 2 also supports our result.

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we study a discrete competitive system with Beddington-DeAngelis functional response and obtain sufficient conditions on partial extinction and stability property of the other species. When \(\beta_i(n) = 0, \alpha_i(n) = \gamma_i(n) = 1\) \((i = 1, 2)\), (6) becomes (2) which was investigated by Qin et al. [3] and Wang et al. [4]. Moreover, when \(\alpha_i(n) = 1, \gamma_i(n) = 0\) \((i = 1, 2)\), system (6) reduces to system (3) studied by Ma et al. [8]. So our results generalize [3,5,8].
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