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#### Abstract

In this work, we derive a one-parameter family of Super Halley's method for finding simple roots of nonlinear equations. The scheme is powerful since it regenerates an infinity interesting methods. The convergence analysis shows that the order of convergence of each method of the proposed family is at least three. The originality of the new family manifests in the fact that all these methods are governed by a recurring formula that depends on a natural integer parameter $p$. Moreover, under certain conditions, the convergence speed of these methods improves by increasing $p$. A fairly detailed study on their global convergence is carried out. To illustrate the abilities and performances of proposed family, numerical comparisons have been made with several other existing third order and higher order methods.
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## I. Introduction

THE design of iterative formulas for solving nonlinear equations is a very important and interesting task in engineering, scientific computing and applied mathematics in general [1], [2]. In this research, we are interested in finding simple roots of a nonlinear equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f: I \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for an open interval $I$ is a scalar function. The zero $\alpha$ of $f$, assumed simple, can be determined as a fixed point of some Iteration Function (I.F.) by means of the one-point iteration method [3]-[12]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=F\left(x_{n}\right) \quad \text { for } \quad n=0,1,2, \cdots \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x_{0}$ is starting value. A point $\alpha$ is called a fixed point of $F$ if $F(\alpha)=\alpha$. The convergence of the sequence $\left(x_{n}\right)$ to the root $\alpha$ can be guaranteed under certain conditions and by making a good choice of iterative function $F$.
The best known iterative method for determining a solution for this problem is Newton's method [13] given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)} \quad n=0,1,2, \ldots \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]a special case of (2) with $F(x)=x-\frac{f(x)}{f^{\prime}(x)}$. This method converges quadratically to the simple root $\alpha$, if $x_{0}$ is sufficiently close to $\alpha$.

Recently, some new methods, with cubic convergence, have been developed. For example, Halley [5], [13]-[22], Chebyshev [1], [13], [19], [22]-[24], Hansen-Patrick [25], Ostrowski [24], Chun [26], Sharma [27]-[29], Jiang-Han [30], Barrada et al. [20], [31]-[33], Amat [19], Traub [13], Kou, Li and Wang [34], Chun and Neta [35], Torres et al. [36] have proposed some interesting and well-known methods. Among the methods, of order 3, most known in literature, we cite in particular Super-Halley's method [18], [19], [26], [27], [30], [35] given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)} V_{0}\left(L_{n}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V_{0}\left(L_{n}\right)=\frac{2-L_{n}}{2\left(1-L_{n}\right)}$
and $L_{n}=L_{f}\left(x_{n}\right)=\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right) f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)^{2}}$
A special case of (2) with I.F. :

$$
F_{0}(x)=x-\frac{f(x)}{f^{\prime}(x)}\left(\frac{2-L_{f}(x)}{2\left(1-L_{f}(x)\right)}\right)
$$

On the other note, several researches have been carried out with the aim to create multi-step iterative methods with improved convergence order. Fang et al. [37], Torres et al. [36] have constructed Some fifth-order convergent iterative methods. Wang and Zhang [38], Kou et al. [34], [39], Chun and Ham [40] have developed some families of sixth-order methods. Bi W. et al. [41] introduced some families of eighth-order convergence methods.

In articles [20], [31]-[33], we proposed some interesting new family of Halley's method and Chebyshev's method. In this paper, based on the Super Halley's method and secondorder Taylor polynomial, we will construct a new family for finding simple roots of nonlinear equations with cubical convergence. The main characteristics of this family are that, on one hand, its methods can be derived from each other from a recurrent formula which depends on a natural integer parameter p and, on the other hand, under certain hypothesis, the speed of convergence of these methods improves by increasing $p$. The efficiency of this method will be tested on a number of numerical examples. A comparison with third, five and sixth order methods will be realized.

## II. DERIVATION OF NEW ITERATIVE PROCESS

Newton's method is derivate by approximating the given function $f$ at $x=x_{n}$ by the tangent line

$$
y(x)=f\left(x_{n}\right)+f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)\left(x-x_{n}\right)
$$

to the graph of $f$ at $\left(x_{n}, f\left(x_{n}\right)\right)$. By solving $y\left(x_{n+1}\right)=0$ for $x_{n+1}$, we find the sequence (3).

The linear approximation in Newton's method is simply the first-degree Taylor polynomial of $f$ at $x_{n}$. Now let's use a second degree polynomial:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(x)=f\left(x_{n}\right)+f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)\left(x-x_{n}\right)+\frac{f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}{2}\left(x-x_{n}\right)^{2} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $x_{n}$ is again an approximate solution of $f(x)=0$. The goal is to calculate a point $\left(x_{n+1}, 0\right)$ where the graph of $y$ intersects the $x$-axis, that is, to solve of following equation for $x_{n+1}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=f\left(x_{n}\right)+f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)\left(x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right)+\frac{f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}{2}\left(x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right)^{2} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

by replacing $\left(x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right)$ located on the right-hand side of (6) by Super Halley's correction given in (4), we get :

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=f\left(x_{n}\right)+f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)\left(x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right)+\frac{f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}{2}\left(-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{2 f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}\left(\frac{2-L_{n}}{1-L_{n}}\right)\right)^{2} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

From which it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)} V_{1}\left(L_{n}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V_{1}\left(L_{n}\right)=1+\frac{L_{n}}{2} V_{0}^{2}\left(L_{n}\right)=\frac{L_{n}^{3}+4 L_{n}^{2}-12 L_{n}+8}{8\left(1-L_{n}\right)^{2}}$
By repeating the above procedure p times and each time replace $\left(x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right)$ located on the right side of (6) with the last method found, we derive the following general family of Super Halley's method (Bp):

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{n+1}=x_{n}-V_{p}\left(L_{n}\right) \frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}  \tag{9}\\
V_{p+1}(x)=1+\frac{x}{2} V_{p}^{2}(x) \\
V_{0}(x)=\frac{2-x}{2(1-x)}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $p$ is a non-zero natural integer parameter.
The iterative process (9), noted ( $B p$ ), represents a general family of Super Halley's method for finding simple roots of nonlinear equations. It is a special case of (2) with following (I.F.) :

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{p}(x)=x-\frac{f(x)}{f^{\prime}(x)} \cdot V_{p}\left(L_{f}(x)\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The scheme (9) is powerful because it regenerates the Super-Halley method (B0), and several new methods such as ( B 1 ), given by ( 8 ), and ( B 2 ) given by:

$$
x_{n+1}=x_{n}-V_{2}\left(L_{n}\right) \frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}
$$

Where $V_{2}$ is given by:

$$
V_{2}\left(L_{n}\right)=\frac{L_{n}^{7}+8 L_{n}^{6}-8 L_{n}^{5}+48 L_{n}^{4}-304 L_{n}^{3}+576 L_{n}^{2}-448 L_{n}+128}{128\left(1-L_{n}\right)^{4}}
$$

## III. ANALYSIS OF CONVERGENCE

## A. Order of convergence

The order of convergence of sequence (9) is given by the following theorem.

Theorem 1. Let $p$ be a parameter where $p$ is a non-negative integer. We Suppose that the function $f$ has at least two continuous derivatives in the neighborhood of a zero, $\alpha$. Further, we assume that $f^{\prime}(\alpha) \neq 0$ and $x_{0}$ is sufficiently close to $\alpha$. Then, the sequences (9), converge cubically to $\alpha$, for any natural integer parameter $p$, and satisfy the error equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{n+1}=-\frac{f^{(3)}(\alpha)}{3!f^{\prime}(\alpha)} e_{n}^{3}+\mathcal{O}\left(e_{n}^{4}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $e_{n}=x_{n}-\alpha$ is the error at $n^{\text {th }}$ iteration
Proof: Let $\alpha$ be a simple root, i.e. $f(\alpha)=0$ and $f^{\prime}(\alpha) \neq 0$, of a nonlinear equation $f(x)=0$. We use the following Taylor expansions about $\alpha$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f\left(x_{n}\right)=f^{\prime}(a)\left[e_{n}+c_{2} e_{n}^{2}+c_{3} e_{n}^{3}+c_{4} e_{n}^{4}+\mathcal{O}\left(e_{n}^{5}\right)\right]  \tag{12}\\
f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)=f^{\prime}(\alpha)\left[1+2 c_{2} e_{n}+3 c_{3} e_{n}^{2}+4 c_{4} e_{n}^{3}+\mathcal{O}\left(e_{n}^{4}\right)\right] \\
f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)=f^{\prime}(\alpha)\left[2 c_{2}+6 c_{3} e_{n}+12 c_{4} e_{n}^{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(e_{n}^{3}\right)\right]
\end{array}\right.
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { where } \quad c_{i}=\frac{f^{(i)}(\alpha)}{i!f^{\prime}(\alpha)}, \quad i=2,3, \ldots \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (12) we get

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
{\left[f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)\right]^{2} } & =\left[f^{\prime}(\alpha)\right]^{2}\left[1+4 c_{2} e_{n}+2\left(2 c_{2}^{2}+3 c_{3}\right) e_{n}^{2}\right.  \tag{14}\\
& \left.+4\left(3 c_{2} c_{3}+2 c_{4}\right) e_{n}^{3}+\mathcal{O}\left(e_{n}^{4}\right)\right] \\
\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}= & e_{n}-c_{2} e_{n}^{2}+2\left(c_{2}^{2}-c_{3}\right) e_{n}^{3}+\mathcal{O}\left(e_{n}^{4}\right)
\end{align*}\right.
$$

and

$$
\begin{array}{r}
L_{n}=\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right) f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}{\left[f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)\right]^{2}}=2 c_{2} e_{n}-6\left(c_{2}^{2}-c_{3}\right) e_{n}^{2}  \tag{15}\\
+4\left(4 c_{2}^{3}-7 c_{2} c_{3}\right. \\
\left.+3 c_{4}\right) e_{n}^{3}+\mathcal{O}\left(e_{n}^{4}\right)
\end{array}
$$

Using the Taylor's series expansion [29] of $V_{p}\left(L_{n}\right)$ about $L(\alpha)$ leads to

$$
\begin{array}{r}
V_{p}\left(L_{n}\right)=V_{p}(L(\alpha))+\left(L_{n}-L(\alpha)\right) V_{p}^{\prime}(L(\alpha)) \\
+\frac{1}{2}\left(L_{n}-L(\alpha)\right)^{2} V_{p}^{\prime \prime}(L(\alpha)) \\
+\mathcal{O}\left(\left(L_{n}-L(\alpha)\right)^{3}\right)
\end{array}
$$

Where $p$ is a natural integer parameter.
Taking into account that $L(\alpha)=0$, we obtain
$V_{p}\left(L_{n}\right)=V_{p}(0)+L_{n} V_{p}^{\prime}(0)+\frac{1}{2} L_{n}^{2} V_{p}^{\prime \prime}(0)+\mathcal{O}\left(L_{n}^{3}\right)$
We have: $V_{0}(x)=\frac{2-x}{2(1-x)}$ and $\quad V_{p+1}(x)=1+\frac{x}{2} V_{p}^{2}(x)$
We obtain

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
V_{0}^{\prime}(x)=\frac{1}{2(1-x)^{2}}  \tag{17}\\
V_{p+1}^{\prime}(x)=\frac{1}{2} V_{p}^{2}(x)+x \cdot V_{p}(x) V_{p}^{\prime}(x) \\
V_{0}^{\prime \prime}(x)=\frac{1}{(1-x)^{3}}, \\
V_{p+1}^{\prime \prime}(x)=2 V_{p}(x) V_{p}^{\prime}(x)+x\left(V_{p}^{\prime 2}(x)+V_{p}(x) V_{p}^{\prime \prime}(x)\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

It is easy to prove that function $V_{p}$ check following conditions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { For all } p \in \mathbb{N}, V_{p}(0)=1, V_{p}^{\prime}(0)=\frac{1}{2} \text { and } V_{p}^{\prime \prime}(0)=1 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the Formula (16) becomes
For all $p \in \mathbb{N}, \quad V_{p}\left(L_{n}\right)=1+\frac{1}{2} L_{n}+\frac{1}{2} L_{n}^{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(L_{n}^{3}\right)$
Using (15), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { For all } p \in \mathbb{N} \text {, } \\
& V_{p}\left(L_{n}\right)=1+c_{2} e_{n}+\left[-c_{2}^{2}+3 c_{3}\right] e_{n}^{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(e_{n}^{3}\right) \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (14) and (20) in formula (9), we obtain the error equation

$$
e_{n+1}=-c_{3} e_{n}^{3}+\mathcal{O}\left(e_{n}^{4}\right)
$$

which completes the proof of the theorem.

## B. Global Convergence of the super Halley's family

We will make a first study of the global convergence of some selected methods from the proposed family (Bp), in the case where they converge towards the root in a monotone way [3], [27], [42]-[44]. But before, we give two elementary lemmas, which will be used to this study.

Lemma 1. Let us write the iterative function of $f$, from the sentences $(B p)$ :

$$
F_{p}(x)=x-\frac{f(x)}{f^{\prime}(x)} \cdot V_{p}\left(L_{f}(x)\right)
$$

Then, the derivative of $F_{p}$ is given by:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
F_{p}^{\prime}(x)=1-L_{f}(x)\left[1+L_{f}(x)\left(L_{f^{\prime}}(x)-2\right)\right] V_{p}^{\prime}\left(L_{f}(x)\right)  \tag{21}\\
-V_{p}\left(L_{f}(x)\right)\left(1-L_{f}(x)\right)
\end{array}
$$

Lemma 2. Let $x$ a real number such as $0 \leqslant x<1$ and ( $a_{p}$ ) the sequence defined by:
$a_{0}=\frac{2-x}{2(1-x)}, \quad a_{p+1}=1+\frac{x}{2} a_{p}^{2}, \quad$ for $\quad p=0,1,2 \ldots$
then $\left(a_{p}\right)$ is an increasing sequence with strictly positive terms.

Proof: As $0 \leqslant x<1$, it is easy to prove by induction that $a_{p}>0$ for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let us show by induction that $\left(a_{p}\right)$ is increasing sequence, for a given $p$. We have:
$a_{1}-a_{0}=\frac{x^{3}}{8(1-x)^{2}}$. As $x \geqslant 0$, then $a_{1} \geqslant a_{0}$. Now we assume that for an integer $p$, we have $a_{p+1} \geqslant a_{p}$. Since $a_{p}>0$ and $a_{p+1}>0$, then $a_{p+1}^{2} \geqslant a_{p}^{2}$, and as $x \geqslant 0$, we deduce that $a_{p+2} \geqslant a_{p+1}$ and the induction is completed.

## C. Monotonic Convergence of the Sequences (Bp)

Theorem 2. Let $p \in \mathbb{N}, f \in C^{m}[a, b], m \geqslant 4, f^{\prime} \neq 0, f^{\prime \prime} \neq$ $0,0 \leqslant L_{f}<1$ and the iterative function $F_{p}$ of $f$, defined by (10), is increasing function on an interval $[a, b]$ containing the root $\alpha$ of $f$. Then the sequence given by (9) is decreasing (resp. increasing) and converges to $\alpha$ from any point $x_{0} \in$ $[a, b]$ checking $f\left(x_{0}\right) f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)>0$ (resp. $\left.f\left(x_{0}\right) f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)<0\right)$

Proof: Let us consider the case where $f\left(x_{0}\right) f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)>0$, then $x_{0}>\alpha$. Applying Mean Value Theorem to the function $F_{p}$, where $p$ is a natural integer, we obtain:

$$
x_{1}-\alpha=F_{p}\left(x_{0}\right)-F_{p}(\alpha)=F_{p}^{\prime}(s)\left(x_{0}-\alpha\right)
$$

for some $s \in\left(\alpha, x_{0}\right)$. As $F_{p}$ is an increasing function on $[a, b]$, then derivative of $F_{p}$ given by (21) checks $F_{p}^{\prime}(x) \geqslant 0$ in $[\alpha, b]$, we deduce that $x_{1} \geqslant \alpha$. By induction, we obtain $x_{n} \geqslant \alpha$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

On the other note, according to (9), we have:

$$
x_{1}-x_{0}=-V_{p}\left(L_{0}\right) \frac{f\left(x_{0}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)}
$$

As $0 \leqslant L_{0}<1$ then, from Lemma 2, we have :

$$
a_{p}=V_{p}\left(L_{0}\right)>0 \text { for all } p \in \mathbb{N}
$$

Since $\frac{f\left(x_{0}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)}>0$, we deduce that $x_{1} \leqslant x_{0}$. Now it is easy to prove by induction that $x_{n+1} \leqslant x_{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
Thereby, the sequence (9) is decreasing and converges to a limit $\lambda \in[a, b]$ where $\lambda \geqslant \alpha$. So, by taking the limit in (9) we obtain:

$$
\lambda=\lambda-\frac{f(\lambda)}{f^{\prime}(\lambda)} V_{p}\left(L_{f}(\lambda)\right)
$$

We have $V_{p}\left(L_{f}(\lambda)\right)>0$ for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and for every real $L_{f}(\lambda) \in[0,1)$, so $V_{p}\left(L_{f}(\lambda)\right) \neq 0$ and consequently $f(\lambda)=0$. As $\alpha$ is the unique root of $f$ in $[a, b]$, therefore $\lambda=\alpha$. This completes the proof of theorem.

Analogously, we prove that the sequences (9) are increasing and converges to $\alpha$ under the same assumptions of Theorem 2, but for $f\left(x_{0}\right) f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)<0$.

## IV. Principal advantage of new family

As the family ( Bp ) is governed by formula (9), depending on the parameter $p$, where $p$ is a nonnegative integer, it would be interesting to look for which p values, and under which conditions, the convergence is faster.

Theorem 3. Let $p \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$. Let $\left(u_{n}\right)$ and $\left(w_{n}\right)$ be defined, respectively, by the sequences $\left(x_{n}^{p+1}\right)$ and $\left(x_{n}^{p}\right)$ given by equation (9), $f \in C^{m}[a, b], m \geqslant 4, f^{\prime}(x) \neq 0, f^{\prime \prime}(x) \neq 0$, $0 \leqslant L_{f}(x)<1$ and the iterative functions $F_{p}$ and $F_{p+1}$ of $f$, defined by (10), be increasing functions on an interval $[a, b]$ containing the root $\alpha$ of $f$. Starting from the same initial point $x_{0} \in[a, b]$, the rate of convergence of sequence $\left(x_{n}^{p+1}\right)$ is higher than one of sequence $\left(x_{n}^{p}\right)$.

Proof: Supposing that the initial value $x_{0}$ checks $f\left(x_{0}\right) f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)>0$, so $x_{0}>\alpha$. According to Theorem 2, we know that if $f^{\prime}(x) \neq 0, f^{\prime \prime}(x) \neq 0,0 \leq L_{f}<1$, $F_{p}$ and $F_{p+1}$ are increasing functions an interval $[a, b]$, the
sequences ( $x_{n}^{p}$ ) and ( $x_{n}^{p+1}$ ), given by (9), are decreasing and converge to $\alpha$ from any point $x_{0} \in[a, b]$
Let $\left(u_{n}\right)$ and ( $w_{n}$ ) be defined, respectively, by $\left(x_{n}^{p+1}\right)$ and $\left(x_{n}^{p}\right)$. Since $u_{0}=w_{0}=x_{0}$ and the two sequences are decreasing, we expect that $u_{n} \leqslant w_{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This can be proved by induction. Let $n=1$, then:

$$
u_{1}-w_{1}=-\frac{f\left(x_{0}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)}\left(V_{p+1}\left(L_{0}\right)-V_{p}\left(L_{0}\right)\right)
$$

As $0 \leq L_{0}=L_{f}\left(x_{0}\right)<1$, then from Lemma 2: $V_{p+1}\left(L_{0}\right) \geqslant V_{p}\left(L_{0}\right)$. As $\frac{f\left(x_{0}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)}>0$, we deduce that: $u_{1} \leq w_{1}$.

Now we assumed that $u_{n} \leq w_{n}$. Since, under above hypotheses, $F_{p+1}$ is increasing function in $[a, b]$, we obtain $F_{p+1}\left(u_{n}\right) \leq F_{p+1}\left(w_{n}\right)$.
On the other hand, we have :
$F_{p+1}\left(w_{n}\right)-F_{p}\left(w_{n}\right)=-\frac{f\left(w_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(w_{n}\right)}\left(V_{p+1}\left(L_{n}\right)-V_{p}\left(L_{n}\right)\right) \leq 0$
We deduce that $F_{p+1}\left(u_{n}\right) \leq F_{p}\left(w_{n}\right)$. So $u_{n+1} \leq w_{n+1}$ and induction is completed. The case $f\left(x_{0}\right) f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)<0$ is similar to the previous one.
Consequently, the originality and the power of Super Halley's Family is illustrated analytically by justifying that, under certain conditions, the convergence speed of these methods increases with the parameter $p$. Since the famous Super Halley's method is a particular case of this family whose parameter $(p=0)$ is the smallest, its convergence speed is lower than other new methods of the same family, having higher parameters.

## V. Numerical results

In this section we exhibit numerical results showing the behavior of some methods in the new family for some arbitrary chosen equations.

All results have been carried out in MATLAB R2015b and the stopping criterion has been taken as $\left|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right| \leq 10^{-15}$ and $\left|f\left(x_{n}\right)\right| \leq 10^{-15}$. We give the number of iterations (N) or/and the number of function evaluations (NOFE) required to satisfy the stopping criterion, CU denotes that the method converges to an undesired root, $F$ denotes that the method fails and $D$ denotes divergence. The tests functions, used in Table II, III and IV, and their roots $\alpha$, are displayed in Table I.

## A. Numerical Comparison between some methods of new family

Let us consider the function $f_{13}$ defined in Table I. Tacking $x_{0}=14$, we have $f\left(x_{0}\right) f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)>0$. Table II presents a numerical comparison between some methods from the proposed family (Bp) obtained for $p=1,3,4,15$ and 21.

We show, in Table II, that :

- All the sequences (B1, B3, B4, B15 and B21) defined by (9) is decreasing and converges to the solution $\alpha=6$ of equation $f(x)=0$ in I ;
- By increasing parameter $p$, the convergence speed of the methods ( Bp ) increases and their number of iterations decreases;

Table I: Test functions and their roots.

| Test functions | $\operatorname{Root}(\alpha)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $f_{1}(x)=x^{2}-5 x+6$ | 2.000000000000000 |
|  | 3.000000000000000 |
| $f_{2}(x)=(\sin x)^{2}-x^{2}+1$ | 1.404491648215341 |
| $f_{3}(x)=x^{3}-5 x^{2}+10 x+12$ | $-0,814380855386419$ |
| $f_{4}(x)=(x-3) e^{x}+1$ | 2,947530902542285 |
| $f_{5}(x)=x \ln x$ | 1.000000000000000 |
| $f_{6}(x)=(x-1)^{3}-1$ | 2.000000000000000 |
| $f_{7}(x)=\cos x-x$ | 0,7390851332151607 |
| $f_{8}(x)=\frac{1}{2} x^{3}+\frac{3}{4} x^{2}-3 x-1$ | 1,570796326794897 |
| $f_{9}(x)=(\sin x)^{2}-\sqrt{3} \sin x$ | $-3,141592653589793$ |
| $f_{10}(x)=x^{3}+4 x^{2}-10$ | 1,365230013414097 |
| $f_{11}(x)=\frac{2}{3} x^{3}+2 x^{2}-x+1$ | $-3,54288610445217$ |
| $f_{12}(x)=\frac{2 x^{2}}{x^{2}+1}-\ln \left(1+x^{2}\right)$ | 1,98029130043221 |
| $f_{13}(x)=x^{2}-11 x+30$ | 6.000000000000000 |

- The convergence rate of Super Halley's method (B1) is lower than that of the other new methods which have higher values of parameter $p(\mathrm{~B} 3, \mathrm{~B} 4, \mathrm{~B} 15$ and B 21$)$.


## B. Comparison with other third order methods

In Table III, we shall present numerical results obtained by employing classical Newton's method ( N ) defined by formula (3), and some third order methods: Chebyshev's method (C) defined by (13) in [26], Sharma's method (S1) defined by equation (17) with $\alpha=0.5$ in [29], Chun's method (CH) defined by (23) with $a_{n}=1$ in [25], Jiang-Han's rational method ( JH ) defined by (19) with parameter $\alpha=1$ in [30], Sharma's method (S2) defined by (20) with $a_{n}=1$ in [27], Hansen and Patrick's method (HP) defined by (2.13) in [25] and Halley's method (H) defined in [14], [17], [18]. To represent the new Super Halley's family (9), we choose five formulas designated as B2, B5, B7, B11 and B19.

In Table III, all the methods converge cubically and require three function evaluations per step. Consequently, they have the same efficiency index $E=\sqrt[3]{3}$. Thus, the comparison can be made on the basis of the number of iterations ( N ). We see that the five proposed methods B2, B5, B7, B11 and B19 of the new family are better or similar to other used third-order methods, as they converge often to the root much faster and take lower number of iterations.

## C. Comparison with higher order methods

In Table IV, we compared four methods of the proposed family (B2, B7, B13 and B19), with some higher order methods : (K) a sixth-order method denotes for Kou [39]; (F), a fifth-order method, denotes for Fang et al. (formula (2) in [37]). (CA) a sixth-order method, denotes for Chun and Ham (formulas (10), (11), (12) in [40]); (W) a fourthorder iterative method, denotes of Wang and Zhang (formula (19) with ( $\gamma=\beta=-0.6$ ) in [38]. (T) a sixth-order method, denotes for Fernandez-Torres and al. (formulas (14) and (15) in [36]).
Table 4 shows the number of iterations $(\mathrm{N})$ and the number of function evaluations (NOFE) required to approximate the root $\alpha$. The efficiency and power of the new family is also

Table II: Numerical comparison between some methods of the proposed family.

| B1 | B3 | B4 | B15 | B21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14.0 | 14.0 | 14.0 | 14.0 | 14.0 |
| 7.401499276471242 | 7.047518717194924 | 6.921353177190888 | 6.316280960753437 | 6.199090390091074 |
| 6.09218540988839 | 6.021178939757613 | 6.009191392373784 | 6.00000000220852 | 6.0 |
| 6.000007898965875 | 6.00000000012418 | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |
| 6.0 | 6.0 |  |  |  |

Table III: Comparison with other third order methods.

|  |  | N : Number of iterations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Test function | $x_{0}$ | N | C | S1 | CH | JH | S2 | HP | H | B2 | B5 | B7 | B11 | B19 |
| $f_{1}$ | -1,5 | 7 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| $f_{1}$ | 5 | 7 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| $f_{2}$ | 1 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 |
| $f_{3}$ | 0.1 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| $f_{4}$ | 2.6 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| $f_{5}$ | 0.63 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| $f_{5}$ | 2.2 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| $f_{6}$ | 1.65 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 |
| $f_{7}$ | -0.1 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| $f_{7}$ | 1,6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 |
| $f_{8}$ | 1,93 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| $f_{9}$ | -3.5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| $f_{10}$ | 0.8 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |

Table IV: Comparison with some higher order methods.

|  |  | N : Number of iterations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | NOFE: Number of functions evaluations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Test function | $x_{0}$ | K | F | CA | W | T | B2 | B7 | B13 | B19 | K | F | CA | W | T | B2 | B7 | B13 | B19 |
| $f_{1}$ | -1.5 | 3 | 4 | 3 | d | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 12 | 16 | 12 | D | 12 | 9 | 9 | 6 | 6 |
| $f_{1}$ | 5 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 19 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 15 | 76 | 9 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| $f_{2}$ | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 20 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| $f_{4}$ | 2.6 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | D | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 8 | 12 | 12 | 12 | D | 12 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| $f_{4}$ | 1.6 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | D | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 8 | 12 | 12 | 12 | D | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| $f_{5}$ | 2.2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 4 | D | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 8 | 12 | 8 | 12 | D | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| $f_{6}$ | 1.65 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 16 | 9 | 9 | 12 | 12 |
| $f_{7}$ | 1.6 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 12 | 12 | 8 | 12 | 12 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| $f_{9}$ | -3.52 | CU | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | CU | 12 | 12 | 9 | 12 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| $f_{10}$ | 2.3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 8 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 20 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| $f_{11}$ | -4.4 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 8 | 12 | 12 | 9 | 20 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| $f_{12}$ | 1.4 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 8 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 9 | 12 | 9 | 9 |

confirmed by Table 4 which shows that, for the considered examples, our new four methods (B2, B7, B13 and B19) require a smaller number of function evaluations than most of the selected methods of higher order (fifth and sixth order). However, these results lead us to ask a big question: how can our methods, which are of order three, converge more quickly than other methods of higher order? A very likely answer is the good quality of our methods but there are also other factors such as the choice of the initial point. Indeed, we know that, to find the theoretical order of convergence of a method, we suppose that the initial point $x_{0}$ is sufficiently close to the root of the function $\alpha$. But, if $x_{0}$ is too far
from $\alpha$ (and $x_{0}$ in the basin of attraction of $\alpha$ ), the order of convergence changes particularly for the first iterations. Thus, we calculate the computational order of convergence $(\rho)$ at the step n , given by :

$$
\rho \cong \frac{\ln \left|\left(x_{n+1}-\alpha\right) /\left(x_{n}-\alpha\right)\right|}{\ln \left|\left(x_{n}-\alpha\right) /\left(x_{n-1}-\alpha\right)\right|}
$$

where $x_{n-1}, x_{n}$, are three consecutive iterations.
In article [32], we have shown that, in general, if we choose an initial point $x_{0}$ far from the root $\alpha$, we find values of computational order of convergence ( $\rho$ ) almost equal to the theoretical value ( $\rho \approx d=3$ ) for the one-point methods, of order 3, unlike the multi-points methods, of high order,
which show values of $\rho$ clearly lower than the theoretical value. This leads us to suppose that, for a choice of the initial point $x_{0}$ far from $\alpha$, the high order methods would start the first iterations with a low speed; then, as the iterations advances, they would progressively regain speed to reach their maximum in the last iteration. Thus, the delay in the first iterations could lead to a decrease in the average speed of convergence, and consequently to an increase in the number of iterations. This would explain why, in several cases, our methods, which are of order 3, converge faster than other methods of much higher order, contrary to predictions.

## VI. Conclusion

In this paper, we have developed a new family of thirdorder iterative methods for solving nonlinear equations with simple roots. The proposed scheme regenerates Super Halley's method and many new interesting methods. The originality of this family lies in the fact that these methods are linked by a recurring formula depending on a natural integer parameter $p$. Moreover, in case where certain hypotheses are satisfied, the sequences converge more rapidly when the value of parameter $p$ increases. As parameter $p$ can take very large values, the convergence speed can be largely improved with $p$. To test the new methods, several numerical examples were presented. The performances of our methods are compared with known methods of similar or higher order. Numerical results have confirmed the efficiency and speed of the techniques of the new family built in this article.
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